Is Green Electricity Sustainable?
Evolution of EROIs until 2050

Adrien Fabre

École d'économie de Paris — Université Paris 1

R & R, *Ecological Economics*
03/2019

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Introduction

Contents

Adrien Fabre   Evolution of EROIs until 2050

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Introduction

The material-energy nexus

- Renewable electricity is much more material-intensive than elec from fossils Vidal (2018); Hertwich et al. (2015)


Figure: Copper intensity of different electricity technologies (kg/MWh).

- Mineral extraction and processing is energy-intensive, it uses about 10% of global primary energy (Nuss & Eckelman, 2014)

Adrien Fabre   Evolution of EROIs until 2050

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Introduction

The relevant concept: EROI

- Energy Returned On Invested: $\text{EROI} = \frac{\text{delivered energy}}{\text{net embodied energy}}$
- An energy system is unsustainable energetically if its $\text{EROI} < 1$
- EROI of electricity from renewables lower than that from fossils

Figure: EROI estimates of electricity technos, from Weißbach et al. (2013), where supplementary capacity and storage required for the deployment of these technos is accounted for.

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Introduction

EROI is not an intrinsic property

- if plants where solar panels (PV) are currently built employed renewable electricity instead electricity from coal as their sources of energy => EROI of PV would decrease
- i.e. the EROI of a technology is not intrinsic, it depends on the chain of production / whole economic system (King, 2014)

$\implies$ Is decarbonized electricity energetically sustainable? Or will the EROIs of some technos fall below 1? Tverberg (2017), Jancovici (2018)
$\implies$ I compute the evolution of EROIs until 2050, relative to different scenarios.

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Introduction

Does size of EROI matter?

«*Think of a society dependent upon one resource: its domestic oil. If the EROI for this oil was 1.1:1 then one could pump the oil out of the ground and look at it. If it were 1.2:1 you could also refine it and look at it, 1.3:1 also distribute it to where you want to use it but all you could do is look at it. Hall et al. (2009) examined the EROI required to actually run a truck and found that if the energy included was enough to build and maintain the truck and the roads and bridges required to use it (i.e., depreciation), one would need at least a 3:1 EROI at the wellhead. Now if you wanted to put something in the truck, say some grain, and deliver it that would require an EROI of, say, 5:1 to grow the grain. If you wanted to include depreciation on the oil field worker, the refinery worker, the truck driver and the farmer you would need an EROI of say 7 or 8:1 to support the families. If the children were to be educated you would need perhaps 9 or 10:1, have health care 12:1, have arts in their life maybe 14:1 and so on.*» Hall (2011).

Strong underlying assumptions: factors used at full capacity, no future progress.

Still, this argument should not be neglected, and some authors draw a link between affluence of a society and its EROI: (Hall et al., 2009; Lambert & Lambert, 2011; Lambert et al., 2014; Fizaine & Court, 2016).

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Simple Model With A Unique Energy Technology
A Simple Model With A Mix of Two Technologies

Input-Output Analysis

- IO analysis was developed by Leontief, a "Nobel" in economics (e.g. Leontief, 1986)
- Now, in the US, input-output analysis is mostly conducted in departments of Industrial Ecology
- Still a useful tool for economists: Life Cycle Analysis relies on the same framework, IO tables used in trade (GTAP)

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Simple Model With A Unique Energy Technology
A Simple Model With A Mix of Two Technologies

Definitions

The element $a_{i,j}$ of the technology matrix A represents the quantity of input i required to produce one unit of output j.

$$A = (a_{\text{in, out}}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n,1} & \ldots & a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \text{inputs}$$

$$\downarrow$$
$$\text{outputs}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ m_e & m_m & 0 \\ E_e & E_m & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ m_e & 0.2 & 0 \\ 0.1 & 0.5 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{energy techno.} \\ \text{materials} \\ \text{energy} \end{array}$$

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Simple Model With A Unique Energy Technology
A Simple Model With A Mix of Two Technologies

EROI formula

The embodied inputs x required for a final demand y can be calculated using the well known formula (Leontief 1986; Eurostat 2008; Miller & Blair 2009):

$$x(y) = (I_n - A)^{-1} \cdot y.$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{EROI} &= \frac{\text{delivered energy}}{\text{net embodied energy}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathbb{1}_E^T \cdot \left((I_n - A)^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{1}_E - \mathbb{1}_E \right)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Adrien Fabre   Evolution of EROIs until 2050

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Simple Model With A Unique Energy Technology
A Simple Model With A Mix of Two Technologies

EROI and material intensity

$$\text{EROI} = \frac{(1 - E_e)(m_m - 1) + E_m m_e}{E_e(m_m - 1) - E_m m_e}$$

$$= \frac{0.72 - 0.5 m_e}{0.08 + 0.5 m_e}$$

EROI decreases with the material intensity of the energy technology, because extracting and processing material requires energy

Figure: EROI in the simple model in function of the material intensity m_e of the energy technology.

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Simple Model With A Unique Energy Technology
A Simple Model With A Mix of Two Technologies

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & p \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1-p \\
m_{PV} & m_g & m_m & 0 \\
E_{PV} & E_g & E_m & 0
\end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
= \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & p \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1-p \\
0.7 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0 \\
0.1 & 0.1 & 0.5 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{array}{l}
\text{PV} \\
\text{gas} \\
\text{materials} \\
\text{energy}
\end{array}
$$

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Simple Model With A Unique Energy Technology
A Simple Model With A Mix of Two Technologies

EROI and material intensity

$$\text{EROI}_{sytem} = \frac{0.67 - 0.3p}{0.13 + 0.3p}$$

$$\text{EROI}_{PV} = 1.558 - 0.698p$$
$$\text{EROI}_{gas} = 5.154 - 2.308p$$

The higher the share of PV in the mix, the lower the EROI of both technologies: this comes from the higher material intensity of PV
For highest penetration of PV, the EROI falls below unity

Figure: EROIs in the two-technology model in function of the share p of PV in the energy mix.

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Simple Model With A Unique Energy Technology
A Simple Model With A Mix of Two Technologies

Previous studies

- This argument was first made by King (2014)
- King (2014) provided a numerical application, where incidentally the EROI fell below 1 in a 100% renewable mix (see Table)
- did not comment on this result, because the computations had a purely illustrative purpose, were not supposed to be accurate

% Grid that is fossil electricity (α)	$NEER_{sys}$ fossil electricity	$NEER_{sys}$ renewable electricity	$NEER_{sys}$ grid electricity
99%	2.85	1.89	2.33
50%	1.67	1.18	1.02
10%	1.23	0.89	0.63
1%	1.16	0.84	0.57

Figure: Table 4 in (King, 2014). NEER is the EROI concept defined afterwards.

- No other study on the dependency of EROI to the mix

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Setting and Data
Results
Summary

Data

- Exiobase: Multi-Regional Input-Output Tables (200 sectors, incl. 56 energy sectors, 48 regions, hundreds of impacts)
- ecoinvent: Life Cycle Inventory (15,000 processes) (Wood et al., 2014)
- IEA BaseLine (BAU) and Blue Map (+2°C) scenarios: energy mix for 9 regions until 2050 (ETP 2017)
- THEMIS: hybrid MRIOT combining Exiobase (background), ecoinvent and other data (foreground) (Gibon et al., 2015)
- "Greenpeace"'s scenarios (developed at DLR using model REMix, Teske et al., 2015)

Using an IO approach, I should find lower estimates that the literature relying on LCA

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Setting and Data
Results
Summary

Different notions of EROIs

- Brandt & Dale 2011; Murphy et al. 2011 clarify the different concepts and try to harmonize terminology
- Most relevant notion in our case: Gross Energy Ratio...
- ... called Net External Energy Ratio by King (2014)

- The GER measures the ratio of energy delivered over energy embodied in inputs net of the energy of the fuels used in the process
- In this paper, we use secondary energies instead of the more common primary energy: both approaches are equally valid

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Setting and Data
Results
Summary

Formula for GER

$$\text{supply}_t = E^S \cdot y_t = \text{demand}_t \cdot \mathbb{1}_t$$

$$\text{net secondary embodied}_t = E^S \odot \mathbb{1}_{\text{secondary}} \cdot \left((I - A)^{-1} \cdot y_t - y_t \right)$$

$$\text{fuel input}_t = E^S \odot \mathbb{1}_{\text{secondary fuel}} \cdot A \cdot y_t$$

$$GER_t^{2nd} = \frac{\text{supply}_t}{\text{net secondary embodied}_t - \text{fuel input}_t}$$

Adrien Fabre   Evolution of EROIs until 2050

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic   **Setting and Data**
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs   Results
A Link Between EROI and Price?   Summary

Other elements

- Embedding Greenpeace mixes in matrix (▸ see method)
- Murphy et al. (2011) recommend to present quality-adjusted EROIs along simple EROIs. Electricity is thus given a higher weight for its higher quality, when aggregating energy, e.g. embodied energy: (▸ see results)

$$\text{embodied}_t^{\text{qual. adj.}} = E^S \odot (2.6 \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\text{elec}} + \mathbb{1}_{\text{heat}}) \cdot (I - A)^{-1} \cdot y_t$$

- No need to account for the growth of some sectors, as THEMIS IOT are as if the economy were at a steady-state
- Correction of the data was necessary for solar CSP because of inconsistencies: I imputed *OECD North America* values to all regions

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Setting and Data
Results
Summary

Baseline (BAU, IEA)

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Setting and Data
Results
Summary

Blue Map (+2°C, IEA)

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Setting and Data
Results
Summary

Advanced Energy [R]evolution (100% renewable)

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Setting and Data
Results
Summary

Baseline (BAU, IEA)

Year	2010		2030		2050	
Variable	EROI	mix	EROI	mix	EROI	mix
biomass w CCS	–	0	–	0	–	0
biomass&Waste	11.3	0.01	6.2	0.02	5.8	0.03
ocean	5.5	0	2.4	0	2.9	0
geothermal	5.3	0	5.1	0.01	5	0.01
solar CSP	21.5	0	8.8	0	9.1	0.01
solar PV	9.2	0	7.4	0.01	7.1	0.01
wind offshore	9.3	0	10.9	0.01	10.5	0.01
wind onshore	9.4	0.01	9.2	0.04	8	0.04
hydro	13.1	0.16	11.8	0.14	11.8	0.12
nuclear	10.4	0.14	7.2	0.11	7	0.1
gas w CCS	–	0	–	0	7.4	0
coal w CCS	–	0	–	0	6.1	0
oil	8.2	0.06	9.5	0.02	9.6	0.01
gas	13.7	0.21	14.8	0.21	14.6	0.23
coal	12.6	0.42	11.3	0.45	11.3	0.45
Total (PWh/a)	**12.1**	19.76	**10.8**	34.29	**10.6**	45.97

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Setting and Data
Results
Summary

Blue Map (+2°C, IEA)

Year	2010		2030		2050	
Variable	**EROI**	**mix**	**EROI**	**mix**	**EROI**	**mix**
biomass w CCS	–	0	4.6	0	4	0.01
biomass&Waste	11.3	0.01	5.5	0.06	5.2	0.05
ocean	5.5	0	3.7	0	5.8	0
geothermal	5.3	0	5.2	0.01	5.4	0.02
solar CSP	21.5	0	8.2	0.02	7.9	0.06
solar PV	9.2	0	6.3	0.02	6	0.06
wind offshore	9.3	0	7.6	0.03	6.2	0.04
wind onshore	9.4	0.01	7	0.08	7.3	0.08
hydro	13.1	0.16	12.7	0.18	13.1	0.14
nuclear	10.4	0.14	7.3	0.19	7.4	0.24
gas w CCS	–	0	7.9	0.01	9.1	0.05
coal w CCS	–	0	7.1	0.05	7.1	0.12
oil	8.2	0.06	9.4	0.03	7.3	0.01
gas	13.7	0.21	17.1	0.14	19.6	0.11
coal	12.6	0.42	11.4	0.18	12.4	0.01
Total (PWh/a)	**12.1**	19.76	**9.0**	28.01	**8.0**	40.22

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Setting and Data
Results
Summary

Advanced Energy [R]evolution (100% renewable)

Year	2010		2030		2050	
Variable	EROI	mix	EROI	mix	EROI	mix
biomass w CCS	–	0	–	0	–	0
biomass&Waste	11.3	0.01	5.2	0.05	4.6	0.05
ocean	5.5	0	4.8	0.01	4.9	0.03
geothermal	5.3	0	3.8	0.03	3.9	0.07
solar CSP	21.5	0	9.2	0.07	7.8	0.22
solar PV	9.2	0	5.4	0.14	4.7	0.21
wind offshore	9.3	0	6.5	0.04	6.4	0.1
wind onshore	9.4	0.01	7.1	0.17	5.8	0.24
hydro	13.1	0.16	11	0.13	10.9	0.08
nuclear	10.4	0.14	8.3	0.02	–	0
gas w CCS	–	0	–	0	–	0
coal w CCS	–	0	–	0	–	0
oil	8.2	0.06	9.9	0.01	–	0
gas	13.7	0.21	16.4	0.18	–	0
coal	12.6	0.42	10.3	0.16	11.5	0
Total (PWh/a)	**12.1**	19.76	**8.1**	36.74	**5.8**	64.04

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Setting and Data
Results
Summary

- The EROIs of renewables should decrease, as anticipated
- However they remain largely above 1, suggesting that renewables are truly sustainable
- The system-wide EROI is currently 12.1; it decreases slightly until 10.7 ± 0.1 in 2030 and 2050 in the Baseline scenario
- The decrease is much more pronounced in the 100% scenario: at 8.1 in 2030 and 5.8 in 2050
- First and crude attempt: room for improvement, e.g. including the transportation system. However, technical progress is notoriously difficult to predict, so we will never know future EROIs for sure

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic   Inverse Relation Proposed in First Studies
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs   The Case Against Any Simple Relation
A Link Between EROI and Price?   What Input-Output Analysis Can Say On Future Prices

- King & Hall (2011) show empirically and theoretically that EROI is inversely related to price:

$$p = \frac{\$_{out}}{\$_{investment}} \frac{\$_{investment}}{E_{in}} \frac{E_{in}}{E_{out}} = \frac{MROI}{EROI} \frac{\$_{investment}}{E_{in}}$$

- Heun & de Wit (2012) find an equivalent formula, calling MROI the markup m, considering cost per gross output $c = \frac{\$_{investment}}{E_{out}+E_{in}}$ and using their own notion of EROI: $EROI^H = \frac{E_{out}+E_{in}}{E_{in}} = EROI + 1$:

$$p = \frac{m}{EROI^H - 1} \frac{\$_{investment}}{E_{out}+E_{in}} \frac{E_{out}+E_{in}}{E_{in}} = \frac{m \cdot c}{1 - 1/EROI^H}$$

- Problem: all variables move together. Indeed, their empirical estimation yields

$$p = a \cdot EROI^{-1.4}$$

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Inverse Relation Proposed in First Studies
The Case Against Any Simple Relation
What Input-Output Analysis Can Say On Future Prices

Definitions

- Herendeen (2015) introduces value-added a matrix form in a 2 sectors model: I extend his result in dimension n

$$p = v \cdot (I - A)^{-1}$$

- The energy mobilized by technology t to deliver one unit of energy, i.e. energy intensity: $\varepsilon_t = \mathbb{1}_E^T \cdot (I - A)^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{1}_t$

$$\text{EROI}_t = \frac{\mathbb{1}_E^T \cdot \mathbb{1}_t}{\mathbb{1}_E^T \cdot \left((I - A)^{-1} - I\right) \cdot \mathbb{1}_t} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_t - 1}$$

- p is function of A's coefs, each coef of A can be expressed as a function of EROI. Composing the two, we obtain that price is inversely related to EROI

- But the relation is not unique (as it depends on the coefficient of A chosen to make the connection), and the other parameters in the relation are not constant...

Adrien Fabre   Evolution of EROIs until 2050

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Inverse Relation Proposed in First Studies
The Case Against Any Simple Relation
What Input-Output Analysis Can Say On Future Prices

Demonstration

Lemma

Let A be an invertible matrix and let x be a coefficient of A. Then,
(i) the determinant of A is a linear function of x, denoted D^A;
(ii) each coefficient (i,j) of the adjugate of A is a linear function of x, denoted $P^A_{i,j}$;
(iii) each coefficient (i,j) of A^{-1} is a rational function in x of degree 1, which writes: $\left(A^{-1}\right)_{i,j} = \frac{P^A_{i,j}(x)}{D^A(x)}$.

Proof.

(i) Using Leibniz formula: $\det(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,\sigma(i)}$
(ii) Each coefficient of the adjugate of A is a linear combination of minors of A (themselves determinants), hence is linear.
(iii) Using (i), (ii) and Laplace expansion of A: $A^{-1} = \frac{\text{adj}(A)}{\det(A)}$. $\qquad \square$

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Inverse Relation Proposed in First Studies
The Case Against Any Simple Relation
What Input-Output Analysis Can Say On Future Prices

Theoretical result

Theorem

(Generalization of Herendeen, 2015) Assuming that all coefficients of the transformation matrix A are constant except one, noted $x = a_{i_0,j_0}$, and that EROI varies with x; the price of t can be expressed as a linear function of its energy intensity $\varepsilon_t = 1 + \frac{1}{EROI_t}$, so that:

$$\exists! \, (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \; p_t = \frac{\alpha}{EROI_t} + \beta$$

Adrien Fabre   Evolution of EROIs until 2050

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic   Inverse Relation Proposed in First Studies
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs   The Case Against Any Simple Relation
A Link Between EROI and Price?   What Input-Output Analysis Can Say On Future Prices

Proof

- Defining $R(x) := D^{I-A}(\delta_{i_0,j_0} - x)$, lemma 1 yields

$$\left((I-A)^{-1}\right)_{e,t} = \frac{P_{e,t}^{I-A}(\delta_{i_0,j_0} - x)}{R(x)}$$

- $Q(x) := \sum_{e \in E} P_{e,t}^{I-A}(\delta_{i_0,j_0} - x)$,
  $P(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i P_{i,t}^{I-A}(\delta_{i_0,j_0} - x)$ and $R(x)$ are all linear, so:

$$\exists! (\alpha, \gamma), \quad P(x) = \alpha Q(x) + \gamma R(x)$$

- $\varepsilon_t = \sum_{e \in E} \left((I-A)^{-1}\right)_{e,t} = \frac{Q(x)}{R(x)}$

- $p_t = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \left((I-A)^{-1}\right)_{i,t} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \frac{P_{i,t}^{I-A}(\delta_{i_0,j_0} - x)}{R(x)} = \frac{P(x)}{R(x)}$

- $\left. \begin{array}{c} p_t = \frac{P(x)}{R(x)} \\ Q(x) = \varepsilon_t R(x) \end{array} \right\} p_t = \frac{\alpha Q(x) + \gamma R(x)}{R(x)} = \alpha \varepsilon_t + \gamma$ $\square$

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Inverse Relation Proposed in First Studies
The Case Against Any Simple Relation
What Input-Output Analysis Can Say On Future Prices

A Negative Result

- We cannot obtain a better result, i.e. a formula that still holds when letting more than one coefficient vary

- Even letting all coefficients vary, p can be expressed as:

$$p_t = \frac{\tilde{v}}{\text{EROI}_t} + r$$

- But r, $\tilde{v}$ and EROI_t all depend on the coefficients of A, and vary together when A changes

- Relation between EROI and price so fragile that we cannot even conclude there is a decreasing relation

- No simple relation either with energy expenditures nor GDP. Hence, caution with statements as in Fizaine & Court (2016) that there is a minimum viable EROI

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Inverse Relation Proposed in First Studies
The Case Against Any Simple Relation
What Input-Output Analysis Can Say On Future Prices

- Using, $p = v \cdot (I - A)^{-1}$, we can predict future prices

Table: Predicted average global price of electricity (in €/MWh)

year	2010	2050		
scenario	all	BL	BM	ADV
price	27	28	30	32

- But caution with such estimates: IO is best suited for physical analysis, as it does not model general equilibrium effects nor behaviors

- Empirical relation
  $p = b \cdot \text{EROI}^{-0.6}$
  (N=2111, $R^2 = 0.6$)

Figure: Regressions p $\sim$ EROI using all scenario estimates

Adrien Fabre   Evolution of EROIs until 2050

The EROI of a Technology Is Not Intrinsic
Estimation of Current and Future EROIs
A Link Between EROI and Price?

Inverse Relation Proposed in First Studies
The Case Against Any Simple Relation
What Input-Output Analysis Can Say On Future Prices

Conclusion

- First attempt at estimating future EROIs in a decarbonized electricity system
- System-wide EROI of the power sector should decline until 2050, the more so the higher the penetration of renewables: it would go from 12 to 6 in the 100% renewable scenario
- Even though the EROI $\gg 1$, which was questioned theoretically, these results cast doubts on the energetic efficiency of renewable electricity
- Lower EROI doesn't necessary imply increasing prices
- For a general public presentation of this work: bit.ly/future_eroi

Baseline (BAU, IEA)

Blue Map (+2°C, IEA)

Blue Map (+2°C, IEA)

Conclusion

- A: submatrix with electricity rows $=> D$: conversion to energy
  unit $=> E$ (E_{is} electricity from i required for s output)

- $=> B = M \cdot E$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ \vdots \\ B_R \end{pmatrix}$, $\quad B_r = \begin{pmatrix} E_r^{\text{tot}} \\ \vdots \\ E_r^{\text{tot}} \end{pmatrix}$

- multiply row $i = i\,(r, t)$ of B with shares of t in regional mix
  $=> \tilde{E}$: conversion $=> \tilde{D}$ ▸ go back

Adrien Fabre   Evolution of EROIs until 2050

A. R. Brandt & M. Dale. A General Mathematical Framework for Calculating Systems-Scale Efficiency of Energy Extraction and Conversion: Energy Return on Investment (EROI) and Other Energy Return Ratios. *Energies*, 2011.

Eurostat, editor. *Eurostat Manual of supply, use and input-output tables*. Amt für amtliche Veröffentlichungen der Europäischen Gemeinschaften, Luxembourg, 2008 edition edition, 2008. ISBN 978-92-79-04735-0.

F. Fizaine & V. Court. Energy expenditure, economic growth, and the minimum EROI of society. *Energy Policy*, 2016.

C. A. S. Hall. Introduction to Special Issue on New Studies in EROI (Energy Return on Investment). *Sustainability*, 2011.

C. A. S. Hall, S. Balogh, & D. J. R. Murphy. What is the Minimum EROI that a Sustainable Society Must Have? *Energies*, 2009.

R. A. Herendeen. Connecting net energy with the price of energy and other goods and services. *Ecological Economics*, 2015.

E. G. Hertwich, T. Gibon, E. A. Bouman, A. Arvesen, S. Suh, G. A. Heath, J. D. Bergesen, A. Ramirez, M. I. Vega, & L. Shi. Integrated life-cycle assessment of electricity-supply scenarios confirms global environmental benefit of low-carbon technologies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2015.

M. K. Heun & M. de Wit. Energy return on (energy) invested (EROI), oil prices, and energy transitions. *Energy Policy*, 2012.

C. W. King. Matrix method for comparing system and individual energy return ratios when considering an energy transition. *Energy*, 2014.

C. W. King & C. A. S. Hall. Relating Financial and Energy Return on Investment. *Sustainability*, 2011.

J. G. Lambert & G. P. Lambert. Predicting the Psychological Response of the American People to Oil Depletion and Declining Energy Return on Investment (EROI). *Sustainability*, 2011.

J. G. Lambert, C. A. Hall, S. Balogh, A. Gupta, & M. Arnold. Energy, EROI and quality of life. *Energy Policy*, 2014.

W. Leontief. *Input-Output Economics*. Oxford University Press, USA, 2 edition, 1986. ISBN 978-0-19-503527-8.

R. E. Miller & P. D. Blair. *Input-Output Analysis: Foundations and Extensions*. Cambridge University Press, 2009. ISBN 978-0-521-51713-3.

D. J. Murphy, C. A. S. Hall, M. Dale, & C. Cleveland. Order from Chaos: A Preliminary Protocol for Determining the EROI of Fuels. *Sustainability*, 2011.

P. Nuss & M. J. Eckelman. Life Cycle Assessment of Metals: A Scientific Synthesis. *PLOS ONE*, 2014.

Adrien Fabre   Evolution of EROIs until 2050

G. Tverberg. The "Wind and Solar Will Save Us" Delusion, 2017.

O. Vidal. *Matières premières et énergie*. ISTE Editions, 2018. ISBN 978-1-78405-403-8.

D. Weißbach, G. Ruprecht, A. Huke, K. Czerski, S. Gottlieb, & A. Hussein. Energy intensities, EROIs (energy returned on invested), and energy payback times of electricity generating power plants. *Energy*, 2013.